



Immingham Green Energy Terminal

9.17 Draft Statement of Common Ground between Associated British Ports, Air Products (BR) Limited and Natural England (Tracked)

Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 Volume 9

July 2024

Version 3.0

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008

Document Reference: TR030008/EXAM/9.17

Deleted: May

Deleted: 2





Version History

Version	Date	Submitted
1.0	13 March 2024	Deadline 1
2.0	3 May 2024	Deadline 3
3.0	11 July 2024	Deadline 5

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17





Status of the Statement of Common Ground

Associated British Ports and Air Products (BR) Limited considers that this draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is an accurate description of the matters raised and the current status of each matter.

On Behalf of Associated British Ports

<u>Name</u>	
Position	Project Development Manager
<u>Organisation</u>	Associated British Ports
<u>Signature</u>	

On Behalf of Air Products (BR) Limited

Name	
Position	Commercial Director
<u>Organisation</u>	<u>Air Products</u>
<u>Signature</u>	

Deleted: A Draft Agreed SoCG with Natural England was submitted at Deadline 1 [REP1-052]. A meeting with Natural England was held on 15 April 2024 to discuss the remaining matters under discussion in the SoCG. An updated SoCG was subsequently shared with Natural England on 26 April 2024. Natural England returned their comments on 1 May 2024. ABP has not had time prior to Deadline 3 to review and respond to Natural England's comments. However, the Draft SoCG being submitted at Deadline 3 includes Natural England's changes so that the most up to date situation is presented to the ExA which accurately reflects the views of the stakeholder. ABP will review and respond to Natural England's comments post Deadline 3 and if considered necessary, will submit an updated Draft SoCG at Deadline 4.¶

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17

ii





Table of contents

Chapter	Pages
1 Introduction	<u></u> 1
Overview	1
The Project	<u></u> 1
Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	1
Purpose and Structure of this Document	2
2 Summary of Engagement	3
Table 2-1: Record of Engagement	<u></u> 3
3 Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed	<u></u> 9
Table 3: List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed	<u></u> 10
4 Glossary	37

Deleted: 1 Introduction 1¶

Overview 1¶

The Project 1¶

Parties to this Statement of Common Ground 1¶

Purpose and Structure of this Document 2¶

2 Summary of Engagement 3¶

Table 2-1: Record of Engagement 3¶

3 Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed 8¶

Table 3: List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed 9¶

4 Glossary 33¶





1 Introduction

Overview

- 1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ("SoCG") has been prepared to accompany an application made to the Secretary of State for Transport (the "Application") under Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ("PA 2008") for a Development Consent Order ("DCO") to authorise the construction and operation of the proposed Immingham Green Energy Terminal ("the Project").
- 1.2 The Application is submitted by Associated British Ports ("ABP"). ABP was established in 1981 following the privatisation of the British Transport Docks Board. The **Funding Statement [APP-010]** provides further information on ABP as the Applicant.
- 1.3 The Project as proposed by ABP falls within the definition of a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project ("NSIP") as set out in Sections 14(1)(j), 24(2) and 24(3)(c) of the PA 2008.

The Project

- 1.4 ABP is seeking to construct, operate and maintain the Project, comprising a new multi-user liquid bulk green energy terminal located on the eastern side of the Port of Immingham (the "Port").
- 1.5 The Project includes the construction and operation of a green hydrogen production facility, which would be delivered and operated by Air Products (BR) Limited ("Air Products"). Air Products will be the first customer of the new terminal, whereby green ammonia will be imported via the jetty and converted onsite into green hydrogen, making a positive contribution to the United Kingdom's ("UK's") net zero agenda by helping to decarbonise the UK's industrial activities and in particular the heavy transport sector.
- 1.6 A detailed description of the Project is included in **Environmental Statement** ("ES") Chapter 2: The Project [REP3-022].

Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.7 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) ABP (as the Applicant), (2) Air Products and (3) Natural England ("NE").
- 1.8 ABP is the promoter of the Project and the owner and operator of the Port of Immingham.
- 1.9 Air Products is to be the first user of the new terminal with the construction of its green hydrogen production facility.
- 1.10 Natural England is the Government's advisor on the natural environment. Its purpose is to help, conserve, enhance and manage the natural environment for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. It was created as a Non-Departmental Public Body under the provisions of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 and is formally accountable to the Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. It is responsible for ensuring that England's natural environment,

Deleted: APP-044

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17





- including its land, flora and fauna, freshwater and marine environments, geology and soils, are protected and improved.
- 1.11 In this SoCG, ABP, Air Products and Natural England are collectively referred to as "the Parties".

Purpose and Structure of this Document

- 1.12 The purpose of this document is to identify and summarise any agreement, disagreement or matters outstanding between the parties on matters relevant to the Examination so as to assist the Examining Authority ("ExA") in its consideration of the Application.
- 1.13 In preparing this SoCG, the guidance provided in Planning Act 2008: examination of application for development consent (Department for Communities and Local Government (as it then was), March 2015) has been fully taken into account. In addition, this SoCG has had due regard to the Examining Authority's Rule 6 letter [PD-005].
- 1.14 Section 1 of this SoCG is designed to act as a general introduction to the Project and to the parties concerned.
- 1.15 Section 2 of this SoCG sets out a summary of the correspondence and engagement between the parties to date.
- 1.16 Section 3 of this SoCG sets out the matters which have been agreed or which remain outstanding, together with any matters upon which it has not been possible to reach agreement.
- 1.17 The Tables in Section 3 use a colour coding system to indicate the status of the matters between the Parties as follows:
 - (a) Green matter agreed
 - (b) Orange matter ongoing
 - (c) Red matter not agreed
 - (d) Yellow matter not agreed no material impact





2 Summary of Engagement

- 2.1 A summary of the consultation and engagement between ABP, Air Products and Natural England up to the date of this SoCG in relation to the Project generally and concerning the matters raised in this SoCG specifically is presented in Table 2-1
- 2.2 It is agreed by the Parties to this SoCG that Table 2-1 is an accurate record of the meetings and key correspondence between the Parties.

Table 2-1: Record of Engagement

Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion					
Pre-Application							
10 October 2022	EIA Scoping Opinion was adopted by the Secretary of State	Natural England was consulted at EIA Scoping stage.					
21 November 2022	In person meeting	Introduction to the Project including the Project programme and the potential for effects in the marine and terrestrial environment.					
9 January to 20 February 2023	First Statutory Consultation	Natural England was consulted by ABP as part of the First Statutory Consultation.					
11 January 2023	MS Teams	Technical discussions around tree loss, collision risk for marine mammals, the temporary construction area and the Habitats Regulations Assessment ("HRA").					
16 March 2023	Letter attached to email	Natural England provided their response to the First Statutory Consultation. In summary their main comments related to:					
		Internationally and nationally designated sites – potential impacts on the Humber Estuary Special Area of Conservation ("SAC"), Special Protection Area ("SPA"), Ramsar and Site of Special Scientific Interest ("SSSI").					
		 Habitats Regulation Assessment screening – Natural England indicated their broad agreement with the high-level impact pathways identified but advised that future iterations would need to provide further detail. 					
		 ES Chapter 6: Air Quality – comments around ammonia emissions from road traffic, potential air quality impacts from marine vessels and potential dust emissions during the construction phase, potential air quality impacts form marine vessel 					





Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
		emissions and landside plant emissions during the operation phase.
		 ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) – Natural England did not review this section in detail and advised they would provide detailed comments in their relevant representation.
		5. ES Chapter 9: Nature Conservation (Marine Ecology) – comments on potential effects from permanent direct loss of intertidal and subtidal habitat during construction and operation, potential effects from capital and maintenance dredging and disposal of dredged material to sea during construction and operation, assessment of impacts on Sea and River Lamprey (migratory fish) during construction and assessment of impacts on marine mammals during construction and operation.
		 ES Chapter 10: Ornithology – Natural England confirmed they agreed that potential impacts on the Greater Wash SPA could be scoped out. Natural England provided comments in relation to Humber Estuary SPA/ Ramsar birds.
		7. ES Chapters 16 and 17: Physical Processes and Marine Water and Sediment Quality – Natural England indicated their broad agreement with the scope of these assessments, however noted that they may provide additional comments when further sediment sampling and physical processes modelling is complete.
		8. ES Chapter 25: In-Combination Screening Assessment – Natural England asserted that the HRA will need to consider in-combination impacts from other relevant projects and plans and provided further guidance on what this assessment should include.
		9. Provision of other advice in relation to local sites and priority habitats and species, environmental and biodiversity enhancement, rights of way, access land, coastal access and National Trails.
24 May 2023 to 20 July 2023	Second Statutory Consultation	Natural England was consulted as part of the Second Statutory Consultation.
28 June 2023	Email	Natural England provided their response to the Second Statutory Consultation. In summary their comments related to:





Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion			
		Request for better consultation methods to be used in approach to statutory consultation and moving forward, a request for ABP to work collaboratively with Natural England moving forward.			
		 Internationally and nationally designated sites – consideration of potential impacts on the Humber Estuary EAC, SPA, Ramsar and SSSI and the North Killingholme Haven Pits SSSI. 			
		Proposed design changes and re-design of the jetty structure.			
		Long Strip TPO woodland – supports the commitment from ABP to submit a Woodland Compensation Strategy.			
2 August 2023	MS Teams	Technical discussions around tree loss, collision risk for marine mammals, the temporary construction area and the HRA.			
Post-DCO Subm	ission				
18 October 2023	Email Exchange	Sharing of DCO application documents in advance of upload for early sight.			
3 November 2023	Email Exchange	Provision of Terms of Reference ("ToR") for review.			
8 December 2023	Email Exchange	Share of revised ToR and discussions for meeting to discuss engagement plan.			
20 December 2023	Email Exchange	Discussion of availability for dates.			
8 January 2024	Email Exchange	Proposed amendments to ToR.			
9 January 2024	MS Teams	Meeting to reintroduce Project to the team. An update on the proposed Project was provided with information shared on what has changed since the Preliminary Environmental Information Report ("PEIR").			
		An overview of ABP's interpretation of the Relevant Representation was provided to ensure that Natural England were in agreement on the pertinent topics for discussion.			
		ABP also outlined their approach to the Construction Environmental Management Plan ("CEMP") and			





Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
		explained that it would be split into a landside and marine component.
		It was agreed that an engagement plan would be agreed and followed with an agenda of meetings to discuss concerns raised.
19 February 2024	Email Exchange	Submission of minutes from meeting of 9 January, proposed engagement plan and Terms of Reference.
22 February 2024	Email Exchange	Draft SoCG and draft responses to relevant representations provided.
5 March 2024	MS Teams	Meeting to discuss the draft SoCG and draft responses to Natural England's relevant representations.
		Comments around the soils and land quality elements were covered to provide clarity on the applicability of methods used.
		The topic of air quality was discussed with specialists to provide further explanation of the approaches taken. It was agreed that some areas could be signed off but continued discussions were required on some matters.
		Concerns around habitats were discussed and additional information provided. The Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment has been updated in response to concerns and will be submitted at Deadline 1 so Natural England are able to comment in context.
		Concerns around bird features were discussed and sources of baseline data shared. Some elements of concern were captured for further discussion and elements will be provided in the updated Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment submitted at Deadline 1.
		Concerns relating to marine mammals and fish were discussed at high level with general agreement on the approaches taken.
		Natural England confirmed that some additional comments would be provided at Deadline 1 and Deadline 2 but conversations would continue.
15 April 2024	MS Teams	Meeting to discuss the remaining amber items in the SoCG and additional information provided at Deadlines 1 and 2.
		The meeting covered the topics of air quality and birds, and a number of matters were amended to green (agreed). Some items require further discussion but it





Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
		was felt that most will be finalised within the next two deadlines.
		The use of Skeffling as a compensation site was agreed, acknowledging the timing issues with the breach of the compensation site and provision of habitat.
16 April 2024	Email	Natural England provided the breakdown of NE19 and NE21 into sub-categories as discussed in the meeting of 15 April 2024.
16 April 2024	Email	ABP provided Natural England with a copy of the Operational Life Technical Note for comment.
25 April 2024	<u>Email</u>	Natural England confirmed no comments on the Operational Life Technical Note.
26 April 2024	<u>Email</u>	ABP provided Natural England with a draft SoCG with updates from 15 April meeting as well as additional information regarding the 200m restriction, Ecological Clerk of Works ("ECoW"), additional noise monitoring and noise suppression.
1 May 2024	<u>Email</u>	Natural England provided a tracked version of the SoCG with their comments.
16 May 2024	<u>Email</u>	ABP contacted Natural England requesting a meeting to progress the amber items following material submitted at Deadline 3.
23 May 2024	<u>Email</u>	Natural England confirmed availability and meeting set up.
04 June 2024	MS Teams	Meeting held to discuss the remaining amber items on the SoCG. Some of these items were resolved on the call with a few remaining as amber until additional detail could be provided. The Shadow HRA was updated to capture some items and submitted at Deadline 4; further updates will be made for Deadline 5.
21 June 2024	<u>Email</u>	ABP provided Natural England with excerpts of updated Shadow HRA to show how the in-combination had been amended following comments and prior to submission at Deadline 5.





Date	Form of Contact	Summary with key outcomes and points of discussion
28 June 2024	<u>Email</u>	Natural England confirmed that they were happy with the changes with respect to NE36 but are awaiting specialist advice on NE38.
02 July 2024	<u>Email</u>	ABP provided Natural England with the draft SoCG updated with Deadline 4 position and Shadow HRA amendments.
07 July 2024	<u>Email</u>	Natural England Provided a tracked version of the SoCG.





3 Matters Agreed and Matters Not Agreed

- 3.1 It is agreed that the record of engagement included in the **Consultation Report** [APP-022] submitted with the Application, accurately sets out the consultation and engagement undertaken between the Parties in relation to the Application. In particular, the following chapters:
- 3.1.1 ES Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-048]
- 3.1.2 ES Chapter 8: Nature Conservation (Terrestrial Ecology) [APP-050]
- 3.1.3 ES Chapter 9: Nature Conservation (Marine Ecology) [APP-051]
- 3.1.4 ES Chapter 10: Ornithology [APP-052]
- 3.1.5 ES Chapter 11: Traffic & Transport [APP-053]
- 3.1.6 ES Chapter 16: Physical Processes [APP-058]
- 3.1.7 ES Chapter 17: Marine Water and Sediment Quality [APP-059]
- 3.1.8 ES Chapter 25: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-067]
- 3.1.9 Without Prejudice Report to Inform Habitats Regulations Assessment Derogation [REP3-030]; and
- 3.1.10 Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment [REP4-014].
- 3.2 Table 3-1 contains a list of 'matters agreed' (shaded green); a list of matters in respect of which discussion is ongoing (shaded orange); a list of matters not agreed (shaded red); and a list of matters not agreed not of no impact (shaded yellow) at the date of the Examination along with a concise commentary of what the item refers to and how it came to be agreed between the Parties (as applicable).

Deleted: <#>Without Prejudice Report to Inform Habitats Regulations Assessment Derogation [REP1-008] ¶

Shadow Habitats Regulations Assessment [REP1-012]¶



Table 3: List of Matters Agreed, Matters Outstanding and Matters Not Agreed

ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
General								
G1	Stakeholder Engagement	Consultation Report [APP-022]	Applicant's record of engagement as set out in the Consultation	ABP confirms the record of engagement as set out in the Consultation Report [APP-022] and Table 2-1 of this SoCG is accurate.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	11 July 2024,	Deleted: 4 December 2023
G2	Stakeholder Engagement	Consultation Report [APP-022]	Natural England consider that ABP is engaging with Natural England's statutory consultation response.		As per ABPs position.	Agreed,	7 July 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing
		Natural England Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England welcome that additional information has been provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant	their statutory consultation response and seeks agreement from Natural England that ABP has listened to their response and have continued to engage with Natural England				Deleted: We Deleted: .
G3	Construction Environmental Management Plan	2.1 Draft Development Consent Order [REP4-004] 6.5 Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [AS-043]	ongoing discussions with ABP and Air Products regarding the CEMP. Natural England agrees with the proposed approach to progressing negotiations on the Final CEMP in order to reach a position where the content of the final CEMP(s) is advanced as far as possible and agreed with relevant parties prior to the end of the Examination for the Project. Discussions are ongoing regarding mitigation measures that should be secured in the CEMP.	England and other relevant stakeholders of the Final CEMP(s) to allow for an efficient approval of the CEMP(s)for the landside works by North East Lincolnshire Council ("NELC") (in consultation with the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) in relation to Work No. 1) as secured under Requirement 6 of the draft DCO [REP4-004] and for the works in the marine area by the MMC	0	Agreed,	7 July 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing Deleted: REP1-016 Deleted: now in order to reach a position where the content of the final CEMP(s) is advanced as far as possible and agreed with relevant parties prior to the end of the Examination for the Project. This approach would Deleted: REP2-004] Deleted: for Deleted: REP1-016

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
				MHWS and Work No. 2 which would be approved by NELC.				
				3. CEMPs relating to the other				
				landside works which would also be phased and would also be approved				
				by NELC.				
				ABP and Natural England agree with this approach. ABP have updated the				
				outline CEMP to include all of the				
				amendments to mitigation discussed [AS-043].				
G5	Scope of assessment	ES Chapter 9: Nature	Natural England agrees that the	The scope of assessment presented	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	
		Conservation (Marine Ecology) [APP-051] and	scope of assessment presented in Chapters 9 and 10 of the		·	- 1 3 - 1- 1		
		Chapter 10: Ornithology	Environmental Statement (ES) is	051, APP-052] is in line with that set				
		[APP-052]	appropriate, with additional clarification provided where	out in PEIR with additional clarification provided where				
			requested.	requested.				
G6	Methodology	ES Chapter 9: Nature	Overall, the assessment	The assessment methodology	As per ABPs position.	Agreed,	7 July 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing
		Conservation (Marine Ecology) [APP-051] and						
		Chapter 10: Ornithology [APP-052]	robust; however, discussions are ongoing regarding some aspects	and consistent with relevant guidance.				
		<u>[</u>	where Natural England, have					Deleted: we
			outstanding comments.					
G7	Baseline data and information sources		Daseline data and information	As per ABPs position. Agreed	Agreed	greed 15 April 2024		
	information sources	Ecology) [APP-051] and	sources to inform the description of the baseline environment are	the baseline environment are				
		Chapter 10: Ornithology [APP-052]	considered adequate and	considered adequate and sufficient to inform the environmental assessmen				
			sufficient to inform the environmental assessment.	and represent best available evidence.				
	-			evidence.				
Shadow HR	Α							
NE1	Shadow HRA	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England agrees with the information provided in Table 2 of	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding the qualifying	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	
		Relevant Representation [RF 019]	the shadow HRA regarding the	features.				Deleted: Shadow HRA [REP1-012]¶
		<u>010</u> 1	qualifying features relevant to the screening assessment. They					
			broadly agree with the conclusion	s				
			in Tables 3 – 5 regarding the potential for likely significant					
			effects on the relevant designated					Deleted: , except where detailed comments ar
			sites					below (key issue ref NE2 and NE3).



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date
			Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.				
NE2	Shadow HRA	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] ES Chapter 10: Ornithology [APP-052] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Lighting effects Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to relevant representations [REP1-021]. Natural England agrees that this point, in relation to lighting effects on coastal waterbirds, has been addressed. Potential effects of flare stacks Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to relevant representations [REP1-021]. Natural England agrees that this point, in relation to the potential impact of flare stack on SPA birds has been addressed, on the basis that 'the flare stacks proposed as part of the Project will be much smaller, with the flame largely enclosed as a result of shrouding', as stated in the shadow HRA [REP4-014].	Further clarifications in relation to lighting effects and flare stacks, during construction and operation has been provided in the ABP's response to relevant representations [REP1-021]. The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] also includes this information.		Agreed	15 April 2024
NE3	HRA screening comments -	- Shadow HRA [REP4-014]		ABP has updated Tables 3 to 5 of the	As nor ARPs position	Agreed	4 June 2024
INLO	In- combination assessmen at screening stage		shadow HRA does not appear to include an in-combination assessment following the screening stage of the HRA. Natural England welcomes the provision of further clarification in the updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] regarding how the incombination assessment at the screening stage has been undertaken. Based on the information provided, Natural England concurs that the impact pathways screened out at this stage are unlikely to have a significant effect on any European site, either alone or incombination.	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] to make it clear how projects have been considered alone and in-combination. Additional information has also been included in the updated Shadow HRA submitted at Deadline 3 at Paragraph 3.1.4 [REP4-014]. ABP are confident that the assessments have been carried out appropriately.		- Agreeu,	T JULIE 2024

Deleted: Shadow HRA [REP1-012]¶

Deleted: The updated Shadow HRA [REP1-012] also includes this information.

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: Discussion ongoing

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: Additional information has also been included in the updated Shadow HRA submitted at Deadline 3.

Deleted: Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the Shadow HRA and will review the information when submitted.¶
Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE4	HRA - The potential for an	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England advise that further	er ABP consider that Table 7 of the	As per ABPs position.	Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
	AEoI due to the direct loss	Relevant Representation [RR	information is required to	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] already				Deleted: Discussion ongoing
	of qualifying intertidal	019]	determine whether a conclusion of	f addressed direct loss of qualifying				Deleted: REP1-012
	habitat	Applicant's Responses to	no adverse effect on integrity	intertidal habitat, However, following Natural England's concerns, further				Deleted: addresses this requirement.
		Relevant Representations		clarity is provided in ABP's response				Deleted: for completeness
		Relevant Representations [REP1-021]		clarity is provided in ABP's response to relevant representations [REP1-021]. This contains further assessment detailing potential effect in the context of both the site's conservation objectives and relevant attributes/ targets for the Humber Estuary SAC. The updated Shadow HRA presents this information at Paragraphs 4.36, 4.37 and 4.5.10 [REP4-014].	5			Deleted: for completeness Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted:] also includes this information.
NEC	LIDA. The netestial feeting	Chadau UDA (DEDA 044)	addressed,	ADD a described as National England	As a see ABBs are sitted	A d	4 De samb en 2000	Deleted: Natural England welcomes that further information has been provided regarding this issue and we will review the updated assessment. However, discussions are ongoing at this
NE5	HRA - The potential for an AEoI due to the direct loss	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR	Table 8 of the shadow HRA identifies that there will be no AEcon bird species which are SPA/	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no AEoI on bird	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	stage. ¶ Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).
	of supporting intertidal habitat on qualifying specie	<u>019</u>]	Ramsar site features. Natural	species.				Deleted: REP1-012
	nasiat en quanying eposio		England agrees with this conclusion, based on the information provided. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.					
NE6	HRA - The potential effects	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England advise that it is	ABP consider that Table 9 of the	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
	of the direct loss of	Relevant Representation [RR	not possible to agree with the	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] already				Deleted: REP1-012
	qualifying subtidal habitat	Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	conclusion of no AEoI for this impact pathway on subtidal habita (Table 9 in the shadow HRA). Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representation [REP1-021]. Natural England, consider that this matter can be agreed, based on the information in the shadow HRA (Table 9) and the additional provided.	attributes/ targets for the Humber Estuary SAC. The updated Shadow	5			Deleted: We Deleted: REP1-012





HRA – SPA qualifying features	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	species is provided in Table 2 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1-012
features	O19] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	species of the Humber Estuary SPA waterbird assemblage should be referred to in determining the relevant features, with justification provided where impacts on a more limited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	The rationale for screening in both qualifying and assemblage SPA species is provided in Table 2 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				Deleted: REP1-012
	O19] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	SPA waterbird assemblage should be referred to in determining the relevant features, with justification provided where impacts on a more limited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	qualifying and assemblage SPA species is provided in Table 2 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				Deleted: REP1-012
	Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	be referred to in determining the relevant features, with justification provided where impacts on a more limited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	qualifying and assemblage SPA species is provided in Table 2 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				Deleted: REP1-012
	Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	relevant features, with justification provided where impacts on a more limited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	species is provided in Table 2 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				Deleted: REP1-012
	[<u>REP1-021</u>]	provided where impacts on a more limited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further information on the screening process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				Deleted: REP1-012
	-	numited list of species are assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		assessed. Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	process is provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		Natural England highlight that consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		consideration may also need to be given to Sector B bird survey data as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		as this is approximately 280m from the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	A table listing all the assemblage species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		the terminal construction zone. Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	species listed in Appendix A of the Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		Therefore, the assessment should be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	Natural England relevant representation and the screening				
		be refined once the exact location of the new jetty is known.	representation and the screening				
		of the new jetty is known.	representation and the screening				
			rationale for each of these species is				
		Natural England recommends that	provided as an appendix to the				
		the relevant bird survey results are	updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014].				Deleted: REP1-012
		collated and presented by month	Consideration of Sector B data				
		to demonstrate the pattern of	Contar Dia la cata di aver 400 m franc				
		usage across the year.	Sector B is located over 400 m from				
		Natural England walcomes the	the jetty and associated construction				
							Deleted: REP1-012
		Natural England welcomes the	Presentation of monthly bird data				
			Polovant hird curvey regults for				
		TINA WILL UIIS IIIIOITII alion.					
							Deleted: REP1-012
			-				
			provided in APPs dreft reasons to				
			Nepresentations [KEP1-021].				
HRA – The potential effects	s Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England previously	Further clarification with respect to	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
due to changes to waterbird	d Polovant Popresentation IDD	advised that further information					
	nan Representation [RR-		foraging and roosting habitat within				
habitat as a result of the	013	locations of turnstone and black		S			
		tailed godwit roosts and whether					
infrastructure during		the function of these areas as	relevant representations [REP1-021]				
_	due to changes to waterbir	habitat as a result of the presence of marine	ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021], and agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. HRA – The potential effects due to changes to waterbird foraging and roosting habitat as a result of the presence of marine ABP's response to Natural England: Natural England previously advised that further information was needed, particularly on the locations of turnstone and black tailed godwit roosts and whether	Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021], and agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA Relevant bird survey results for Sector C have been collated and presented by month to demonstrate the pattern of usage across the year. This is presented in Table 1 of Annex A.1 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further detail regarding this data is provided in ABPs draft response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. HRA – The potential effects due to changes to waterbird foraging and roosting habitat as a result of the presence of marine Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Natural England previously advised that further information was needed, particularly on the locations of turnstone and black tailed godwit roosts and whether been provided in ABP's response to been provided in ABP's response to the foraging and roosting habitat within and outside the red line boundary has been provided in ABP's response to	Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations (REP1-021), and agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Presentation of monthly bird data Relevant bird survey results for Sector C have been collated and presented by month to demonstrate the pattern of usage across the year. This is presented in Table 1 of Annex A.1 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further detail regarding this data is provided in ABPs draft response to Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-q19] Natural England velcomes the commitment of the provided in ABPs draft response to Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-q19] Natural England velcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further detail regarding this data is provided in ABPs draft response to Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-q19] Natural England velcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further clarification with respect to Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-q19] Natural England velcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further clarification with respect to Natural England's Relevant Representation [RR-q19] Natural England velcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further clarification with respect to Natural England previously advised that further information was needed, particularly on the locations of turnstone and black the provided in ABPs response to the provided in ABPs response to the provided in ABPs response to the provide	Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021], and agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014] provides bird data for Sector B. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA [REP4-014] provides bird data for Sector B. Presentation of monthly bird data Relevant bird survey results for Sector B. Presentation of monthly bird data Relevant bird survey results for Sector C have been collated and previously month to demonstrate the pattern of usage across the year. This is presented by month to demonstrate the pattern of usage across the year. This is presented in Table 1 of Annex A.1 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further detail regarding this data is provided in ABPs draft response to Natural England selevant Representations [REP1-021]. Relevant Representation [REP1-021]. Natural England previously advised that further information was needed, particularly on the locations of turnstone and black tailed godwit roosts and whether presence of marine	Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021], and agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed. A 20' Appendix A of the Shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Natural England welcomes the commitment to update the shadow HRA with this information. Relevant bird survey results for Sector B. Presented in Table 1 of Annex A.1 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014]. Further detail regarding this data is provided in ABP's draft response to Natural England reviews to thanges to waterbird prosting and roosting habitat within additional information was needed, particularly on the locations of furnistone and black tailed gookitr roosts and whether



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
	operation on qualifying species.	Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	roost sites will be affected by the development. Consideration should be given to potential changes to the foraging and roosting habitat within and outside the red line boundary. Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021], and agrees this point in relation to roosting and foraging habitat for);	All Floudots Fosition	otatus		
			SPA waterbirds have been addressed.					
NE9	HRA - The potential effects	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England advises that	ABP acknowledge Natural Engla	nd's As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
	of changes to qualifying habitats as a result of the	Relevant Representation [RF	although the conclusions reached in Table 11 within the shadow	position regarding impacts of dredging on benthic habitats. Fu	rth or			Deleted: we agree with
	removal of seabed material during capital dredging	Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	HRA of no AEoI for this impact pathway are agreed with, the dredging and subsequent deposition should be timed with the tide and circulation timings following the guidelines of safe disposal in the site. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	rationale as to why the suggested mitigation is not appropriate in the context of the Humber Estuary is provided in ABP's response to relevant representations [REP1-0]				
NE10	HRA – The potential effects of changes to qualifying habitats as a result of sediment deposition during capital dredging	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RF 019]	Natural England agrees with the conclusion detailed in Table 12 the shadow HRA of no AEol for this impact pathway. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	ABP acknowledge Natural Englar e position regarding no AEoI from dredging on benthic habitats.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE11	HRA - Changes to qualifyin	ng Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England agree with the	ABP acknowledge Natural Engla	nd's As ner ARPs position	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
14511	habitats as a result of	Pelevant Penrocentation IDE	assessment provided in Table 13	position regarding no adverse eff		Agreed	4 December 2020	Deleted: KEF1-012 Deleted: that
	sediment deposition during capital dredge disposal	019]	assessment provided in Table 13 of the shadow HRA that the impacts will be small scale or shor lived and is not likely to cause an adverse effect on integrity of the Humber SAC/Ramsar. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	from dredging on habitats.				



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE12	HRA – comment on benthic assessment	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Natural England agrees that the disposal site is impoverished, however they disagree with the dredge site being classified as impoverished. Natural England is now satisfied that this issue has been resolved with the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021].	With respect to subtidal benthic communities, use of the term 'impoverished' is considered appropriate for describing benthic communities within the dredge footprint. Detail on this provided in ABPs response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021].	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
NE13	HRA - The potential effects of changes to qualifying habitats as a result of the removal of seabed material during maintenance dredging	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	is part of a designated feature of the Humber Estuary SAC (Subtida muddy sand), which primarily	The subtidal seabed habitat within and near the maintenance dredging al area is not considered characteristic of the standard JNCC description of 'H1110 Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time'.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
NE14	HRA - Indirect loss or change to qualifying habitats and species as a result of changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary processes as a result of the marine works	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England is satisfied that the effects of the project works on the hydrodynamic and sedimentary processes will be small in scale and are not likely to cause an adverse effect on integrity of the Humber SAC (Table 15 of the shadow HRA). Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary processes.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE15	HRA - Indirect changes to qualifying habitats of changes to hydrodynamic and sedimentary processes during capital dredge disposal	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	changes to bathymetry at the	position regarding no adverse effect from dredge disposal.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE16	HRA - Direct changes to qualifying habitats beneath marine infrastructure due to shading	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	shading will not cause any direct	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from shading.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE17	HRA – The potential effects of elevated SSC during capital dredging and capital dredging disposal on qualifying habitats and species	Polovant Poprocontation IPP	Applicant's conclusion of no AEoI	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from elevated suspended sediments.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE18	HRA – The potential effects of the release of contaminants during capital dredging and capital dredging disposal on qualifying habitats and species	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	of the sediment contaminant	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from contaminated sediments.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE19	Airborne Noise and Visual Disturbance to birds during construction	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	previously recommended that the relevant bird survey results are collated and presented by month	ABP has provided a detailed response to each of these points in their response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021].	As per ABPs position.	NE19A - Agreed	15 April 2024	Deleted: REP1-012



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
		ES Chapter 10: Ornithology	to demonstrate the pattern of	The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-		NE19B – Agreed	3, May 2024	Deleted: 03
		[APP-052] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	agrees that these points in relation to bird data have been addressed NE19B –Natural England welcomes the additional	additional information in the response to Relevant Representations [REP1- D21]. NE19C – ABP has provided additional background noise monitoring local to the Project which		NE19C - Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: NE19B – ABP is awaiting Natural England's position with respect to the additional information provided. Deleted: Discussion ongoing
				has confirmed the levels used in the assessment. This information has been shared with Natural England and is presented in the Shadow HRA submitted at Deadline 3 [REP4-014], NE19D – ABP has provided additional information regarding how the 200m disturbance buffer would work in practice via email and				Deleted: via email and will be provided in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3.
			information provided in the updated shadow HRA [REP4-014], and agrees that this point has been addressed. NE19D - Natural England notes that the updated shadow HRA	updated the Shadow HRA at Deadline 4 [REP4-014] to provide further information on the benefit of using MLWS and to capture the use of the digital GPS boundary rather than physical markers,		NE19D – <u>Agreed</u> ,	4 June 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing Deleted: respective text within the Shadow HRA at Deadline 3
			[REP4-014] states that the 200m disturbance buffer will be implemented in relation to the Mean Low Water Springs contour The shadow HRA at Deadline 4 is to be updated with further clarification, i.e. "with the implementation of this mitigation, piling and other marine construction activity in the winter months will be at least 200m from intertidal habitat (and typically			NE19E – Matter not agreed – no material impact		
			greater distances over most tidal phases." Therefore, the approach appears to be sufficiently precautionary. Natural England also welcomes the Applicant's commitment to update the HRA to state that "The restriction distance will be controlled through a digital GPS			NE19F - Agreed	15 April 2024	



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date
			boundary which contractors can effectively set as a spatial demarcation in which works can/cannot take place. It will then be possible to monitor compliance through reviewing the respective contractor GPS data as the works progress." Therefore, based on the			NE19G - Agreed	15 April 2024
			information provided, Natural England agrees that the proposed approach is suitable.				
			NE19E - Natural England advises it is important note that preventing disturbance is not just about avoiding starvation for individuals, it is also about ensuring that a bird is fit enough to migrate to breeding grounds and reproduce and maintain the population.				
			Natural England re-iterates that the behavioural studies cited in the ES should not be relied upon in the assessment of potential impacts on SPA birds from disturbance events. The assessment should therefore	2			
			consider the sub-dispersive responses in more detail. However, it is considered that the potential impacts will be adequately minimised through the provision of suitable mitigation measures				
			NE19F - Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Relevant Representations [REP1-021] regarding alternative feeding				
			locations. Based on the information provided, including details of the limited numbers of birds identified using the intertidal area between the IOT jetty and the mudflat fronting North Beck Drain, and the fact that the EA Stallingborough 3 scheme will not				
			Stallingborough 3 scheme will not take place during winter months,				

Deleted: Please refer to NE19 C-E, NE20, NE21 A-F, NE39 and NE42 of our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024) for our outstanding comment on other aspects of the assessment of impacts and proposed mitigation measures.¶ NE19C - Natural England advises that noise measurements are monitored at an additional location in closer proximity to the proposed works. ¶ Natural England notes that ABP have provided additional information in an email dated 26 April 2024, and that this information will be provided in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3. NE welcomes this commitment and will comment further once the updated HRA has been provided.¶ NE19D - Natural England notes that a 200m potential disturbance distance is relied upon in the assessment of noise and visual disturbance impacts. Natural England acknowledges that 200m is generally considered an acceptable disturbance distance for most construction activities within a port environment where birds will show some habituation to human activity. However, Natural England advise that a precautionary approach is taken to noise disturbance distances for piling. ¶
Natural England notes that ABP have provided additional information in an email dated 26 April 2024, and that this information will be provided in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3. NE welcomes this commitment and will comment further once the updated HRA has been provided.¶ Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).¶

Deleted: Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).¶



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
			Natural England, agree that this point is resolved. NE19G - Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Relevant Representations [REP1-021] and agrees that this point, in relation to potential for combined noise effects from terrestrial and marine noise, has been addressed.	0				Deleted: we
NE20	Airborne Noise and Visual Disturbance to birds during construction – Programming of works	Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	construction works should be considered so that the most disturbing works (including approach jetty) are carried out in the summer and early autumn,	response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].		Matter not agreed – no material impact		Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: // Deleted: // Deleted: Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).¶
NE21	Airborne Noise and Visual Disturbance to birds during construction – Proposed mitigation	Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England consider that noise and visual disturbance impacts to SPA birds will be adequately minimised through the provision of suitable mitigation measures.	effects and potential mitigation relating to noise disturbance was	As per ABPs position.	NE21A – Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing Deleted: has a number

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
		Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	NE21A - Natural England welcomes the provision of project specific noise measurements from within the Order limits of the			NE21B - Matter not agreed – no material impact		
			project in the updated shadow	Deadline 3 [REP4-014]				Deleted: .
			HRA [REP4-014]. Natural Englandote that these updated	NE21B/D/E - It remains ABPs view that the proposed mitigation will				
			measurements do not affect the assessment of the proposed	mitigate impacts of noise and visual		NE21C - Agreed	4 June 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing
			mitigation measures. Natural	disturbance from construction to a				Deleted: concerns with respect to
			England therefore agree that this point, in relation to the need for ar	level that would not be considered ar AEoI (acknowledging even if some				Calculation and the calcul
			updated assessment in line with the updated noise measurements	be of limited consequence and not				
			has been addressed.	constitute an AEoI) when considered				
			NE21B - Natural England re-	against the site's conservation objectives. The shadow HRA has		NE21D – <u>Matter not</u> agreed, no material		
			iterate that soft start piling may reduce the 'startle effect' on birds	been updated to reflect ABPs amendments to this mitigation where		impact,		Deleted: Discussion ongoing
			when piling starts, but it is not	reference is now made to Mean Low				Deleted: impacts of noise
			generally used as a mitigation	Water Springs [REP4-014]. This is also reflected in the updated DML				
			SPA waterbirds. Natural England	[REP4-004].			_	
			advise that there is no robust	NE21C – ABP welcomes Natural		NE21E – <u>Matter not</u>		
			evidence to suggest that soft start	England's suggestion of the use of		agreed, no material impact,		Deleted: Discussion ongoing
			piling prevents disturbance cause by the noise. Therefore, Natural	GPS and markers on the mudflats.		ппрасц		Deleted. Discussion ongoing
			England do not consider that soft-	ABP have discussed with Natural				
			start piling provides effective	England and have updated the shadow HRA to include the use of a				
			additional mitigation for	digital GPS system to mark the		NEO/E Matternat		
			disturbance to SPA birds. However, Natural England	boundary for the 200m buffer [REP4	<u> </u>	NE21F – <u>Matter not</u> agreed, no material		
			consider that the potential impacts	S appropriate than a physical marker		impact,		Deleted: Discussion ongoing
			will be adequately minimised	owing to health and safety through				
			through the provision of suitable mitigation measures as proposed.	construction and navigation				Deleted: non-breeding birds (Table 27 of the shadow HRA).
			-	NE21F – ABP agree to provide an				Deleted: NE21C – ABP welcomes Natural England's
			NE21C - As detailed in NE19D, NE welcomes the Applicant's	Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW)				suggestion of the use of GPS and markers on the mudflats as
			commitment to update the HRA to	during the over wintering period				a potential option that could be used to improve certainty about distances. The feasibility of this option will be explored further
			state that "The restriction distance	(October to March inclusive) to ensure the agreed mitigation				in consultation with Natural England.¶
			will be controlled through a digital GPS boundary which contractors	measures for the SPA birds are				
			can effectively set as a spatial	adhered to and the appropriate				
			demarcation in which works	guidance can be provided throughou the construction works.	t			
			can/cannot take place. It will then					
			be possible to monitor compliance through reviewing the respective	Further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's				
				relevant representations [REP1-021]				
			progress." Therefore, based on th	e The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-				
			information provided, Natural	014 also includes this information				Deleted: REP1-012
			England agrees that this issue is resolved.	where appropriate.				



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
				Additional information has also been				
				included in the updated Shadow HRA a and Deemed Marine Licence.	A			Deletede et Decellies o
			weather construction restriction should be included in the shadow	a and Deemed Manine Licence,				Deleted: at Deadline 3.
			HRA Appendix E Waterbird					
			Mitigation Effectiveness Summary.					
			Natural England also recommend					
			that a more precautionary buffer distance should be used, for					
			example 300m, during very severe					
			weather.					
			NE21E - Natural England notes					
			that "it is proposed that a					
			temporary cessation of all					
			construction activity within 200 m of Mean Low Water Springs is					
			implemented following seven					
			consecutive days of freezing (zero					
			or sub-zero temperature) weather					
			conditions." Natural England would					
			welcome confirmation that this would be based on records from a					
			local weather station. Natural					
			England recommends that a					
			shorter period than 7 days of					
			freezing conditions is used.					
			NE21F – Natural England					Deleted: NE21A/B/D/E – F
			welcomes ABPs commitment that					in our Deadline 3 response NE21C - Natural England n
			a suitably qualified Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) will be					additional information in an
			present on site during the					this information will be prov Deadline 3. NE welcomes t
			construction period to ensure that					further once the updated HI Further detailed advice is p
			agreed mitigation measures are					(03 May 2024).¶
			adhered to and therefore avoid disturbance to large flocks of SPA					
			birds.					
			Natural England, recommend that further details should be provided					Deleted: We
			regarding the role of the ECoW,					
			such as how they will monitor and					
			implement any required measures.					
			Natural England advise that this is					
			an important aspect of the suite of mitigation measures proposed and					
			to increase the certainty that					
			mitigation measures will be					
			effective.					

Deleted: NE21A/B/D/E – Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).¶

NE21C - Natural England notes that ABP have provided additional information in an email dated 26 April 2024, and that this information will be provided in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3. NE welcomes this commitment and will comment further once the updated HRA has been provided. ¶

Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).¶



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE22	Effects of airborne noise and visual disturbance to birds during operation	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	visual disturbance impacts on SPA birds during operation, including turnstone and black tailed godwit (Table 28 in shadow HRA). Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant	ABP has provided a detailed response to this in their response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] also includes this information where appropriate. It remains ABPs view that the operational phase of the project will not result in disturbance to a level that would be considered an AEol (acknowledging even if some disturbance may occur, it would only be of limited consequence and not constitute an AEol) when considered against the site's conservation objectives.		Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1-012
NE23	HRA - Underwater noise and vibration during marine piling on qualifying species of marine mammals	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Draft Development Consent Order [REP4-004].	behavioural disturbance) to be assessed separately. Natural England is supportive in principle of the mitigation outlined to reduce the risk of injury to marine mammals during piling (Table 29 of the shadow HRA). Natural England suggest that a project-specific Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan is created, to capture the proposed mitigation measures in a standalone document. Natural England is of the opinion that the production of an MMMP would be useful as the project includes non-standard mitigation i.e. cease piling if marine mammals are observed in the mitigation zone. However, this was intended to be a suggestion rather than a condition and would not result in a material difference to the assessment outcome. Therefore,	Within the Shadow HRA [REP4-014] (paras 4.11,6 to 4.11.13, 4.11.29 to 4.11.43), underwater noise effects or marine mammals are considered under one impact pathway. However the ranges at which injury effects are predicted, as well as the ranges at which behavioural responses are anticipated are clearly presented. Both of these outcomes are considered in the assessment, along with the potential significance of effects or the level of mitigation that required. The mitigation for marine mammals is specified in the assessments and captured in both the outline CEMP and draft DML [REP4-004], Therefore ABP do not consider that a further plan is required.	s s	Matter not agreed – no material impact		Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: 1 Deleted: 42 Deleted: Draft Development Consent Order [REP1-016] Deleted: REP1-016].
			Natural England have recategorised this as a yellow issue.					Deleted: we

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE24	HRA – underwater noise effects on marine mammals	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England agree with the Applicant's conclusions (Table 29 of the shadow HRA) that adverse effect on integrity can be ruled out for The Wash and Norfolk Coast SAC from the project alone, based on the information provided.	and Norfolk Coast SAC.		Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE25	HRA - Underwater noise and vibration during marine piling on qualifying species of fish	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	England, advise that the night-time	d overnight) is not considered to have the potential to result in an AEOI on lamprey. Despite this conclusion ABP is committed to extending the night-tim restrictions that have been applied to percussive piling to include vibropiling. The draft DML will be updated at Deadline 1 to secure this change.	€	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: we Deleted: We
NE26	HRA – Effects of underwater noise and vibration during capital dredge and dredge disposa on qualifying fish	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR- 019]	Natural England agrees with the conclusion of no AEoI for these impact pathways on lamprey (Table 30 of shadow HRA). Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from dredging on lamprey.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE27	HRA – Effects of underwater noise and vibration during capital dredge and dredge disposa on qualifying marine mammals	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England agrees with the conclusion of no AEoI for these impact pathways on marine mammals. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from dredging on marine mammals.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE28	HRA – Introduction of non- native species during construction	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [AS-043],	will be no adverse effect on integrity from the potential introduction and spread of non-	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no adverse effect from introduction and spread of nonnative species during construction. ABP's existing biosecurity management procedures will apply to the construction of the facility.		Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP2-004]
NE29	HRA – introduction of non- native species during operation	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England agree with the Applicant's conclusions that there will be no adverse effect on integrity from the potential introduction and spread of nonnative species during operation, subject to securing and implementation of ABP's existing biosecurity management procedures (Table 32 of the shadow HRA). However, Natural England, would encourage that an overall biosecurity management plan including the operational facility is produced and Natural England,	ABP's existing biosecurity management procedures will apply to the operational facility.		Matter not agreed – no material impact		Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: we Deleted: we
			welcome further discussion. Natural England welcomes further discussion on this matter.					
NE30	HRA - Air quality impacts from traffic	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	the Applicant's Comments on D1		As per ABPs position.	Agreed	15 April 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
			HRA with this information at Deadline 3, and considers that this point has been addressed.					
NE31	Air quality impacts from marine vessels	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	screening distance, provided in the Applicant's Comments on D1 Submissions from Natural England [REP2-013]. Natural England note	Further clarification is provided in	3	Agreed	15 April 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1
			that the maximum 1km distance from 'berths and main areas of manoeuvring' required to be considered for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management (in LAQM TG 22) is cited. Although this does not consider emissions from ships in transit, it is accepted that emissions from comparatively low stack heights, as proposed in this project, would be more similar to the berthed/manoeuvring ships in the LAQM guidance than the Environment Agency 10km screening distance for permitted installations. Based on the information provided, the 3km screening distance is therefore considered suitable for the assessment in this case, and this issue is resolved.					Deleted: We
NE32	Air quality impacts – saltmarsh critical load	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	aerial photography; the Environment Agency's mapping project of saltmarsh types; and/or vegetation records on NBN Atlas, to determine the extent of vegetation of these areas and determine whether the appropriate Critical Loads has been applied. Natural England welcomes that further clarification has been	ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021] The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] also includes this information. Based on the Natural England saltmarsh survey referenced in REP1-021 the two communities are a stand of SM24 (see equals grass) and saltmarsh survey.		Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: Discussion ongoing Deleted: REP1-012
			to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].	nitrogen sensitivity) and SM6 as pioneer saltmarsh (i.e. lower saltmarsh). As such both				Deleted: we



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
			appropriate Critical Load has been applied.	appropriate for using the upper critical load of 20 kgN/ha/yr.				
			commitment that ABP will provide information on inundation to	It is also noted that even using the lower critical load of 10 kgN/ha/yr a conclusion of no AEoI is reached for the reasons set out in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 1 [REP4-				
			in the undated shadow HPA	014]. Moreover, it is noted that the				Deleted: REP1-012
			[REP4-014], Natural England agrees that the critical loads used	modelled worst-case scenario is precautionary and an overestimate of actual nitrogen deposition as it				
			appropriate,	assumes all vessels will be only MARPOL II compliant, whereas in practice there will be a mixture of MARPOL II and MARPOL III vessels.				Deleted: Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).
				The shadow HRA has been updated [REP4-014] to incorporate the				
				additional information requested by Natural England,				Deleted: ABP will provide information on inundation to Natural England.
NE33	Air quality impacts- marine	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]		Further clarification is provided in	As per ABPs position.	NE33A – Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
	vessels	Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to		ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021] It was agreed a meeting between				Deleted: Discussion ongoing
		Relevant Representations	However Natural England advice	both parties that this point actually				Deleted: we
		[REP1-021]	that further information is still required regarding how the assessment was undertaken in order to determine whether the maximum of 292 vessels is the most appropriate figure and it can be concluded that there is no	covered two issues. NE33A Natural England has identified that of importance to the HRA is the matter of whether ABP can be confident that the figure of 292 vessels used in the modelling is a maximum. It was confirmed to Natural England that this number has				

assessments have been made in the

the absence of adverse effects on the integrity of the Humber Estuary designated sites.

Natural England that this number has been assumed as a realistic worst case assessment for the application and is a robust assumption on which

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17



)	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date
			Natural England welcomes the	HRA. ABP has provided further detail		NE33B Matter not	
			further information provided in the			agreed – no material	
			Statement of Common Ground	[REP2-013], regarding factors		impact	
			regarding how the appropriate	affecting the number of vessel calls. I	t	Пірасі	
			vessel maximum was determined,	is not anticipated that the			
			and agrees that this point, in	conservative assumption of 292			
			relation to the points on Air quality	vessel calls will be exceeded for			
			impacts from the number of	import and export of liquid bulks			
			vessels, has been addressed.	across the jetty but in any event			
				further concents will be required for			
			NE33B – Natural England reiterate	the necessary landside works for the			
			that it should be determined	import/export of other liquid bulks (i.e			
			whether the maximum number of	other than ammonia) across the jetty			
			vessel movements is adequately	and will be subject to further			
			secured, as these values are	environmental assessment at that			
			relied upon in the HRA	stage when the additional necessary			
			conclusions.	consents are sought.			
			Notural England walcomes the	Consents are sought.			
			Natural England welcomes the	NE33B The second point was			
			information provided in the	whether this worst case assumption			
			Statement of Common Ground].	of 292 vessel calls should be secured			
			Natural England advise that it is	in the DCO. ABP's position is that it			
			the role of the Planning	is not necessary to impose such a			
			Inspectorate to determine whether	restriction. Requirements should only			
			the maximum number of vessel	be imposed on a DCO which are			
			movements is adequately secured	"precise, enforceable, necessary,			
			as these values are relied upon in	relevant to the development, relevant			
			the HRA conclusions. Natural				
			England would welcome a Vessel	to planning and reasonable in all			
			Management and Monitoring Plan,	other respects". The need for future			
			to ensure that vessel movements	consents to facilitate the			
			remain within the assessed limits.	import/export of other liquid bulks			
			Tomain within the accepted innites	than ammonia) means that any such			
				requirement would be – by definition			
				 be unnecessary. Furthermore it was 	3		
				agreed that whether or not the			
				assumed maximum number of vessel			
				calls was secured was not germane			
				to whether the HRA was robust,			
				which assessed the worst case			
				assumption of vessel calls to the jetty	,		
				(average of 0.8 vessels per day),			
				which is very small when considered			
				in context with the baseline vessel			
				movements within the Humber			
				Estuary and in respect of which the			
				assessment concludes no adverse			
				effect on integrity from the			
				operational emissions of marine			
				vessels on the protected sites and			
				therefore no mitigation is required.			
			Natural England welcomes	As nor Air Draduate position	Further clarification is provided in	Matter not agreed – no	
14	Air auglity imposts						
34	Air quality impacts	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	the commitment in the	As per Air Products position.	ABP's response to Natural	material impact	

Deleted: notes that

Deleted: has been

Deleted: [REP2-013]

Deleted: (May 2023). Due

Deleted: limited time to review this information prior to Deadline 3, Natural England will aim to comment further at Deadline 4...

Deleted: Discussion is ongoing regarding this issue.¶

Natural England notes that further information has been provided in the Statement of Common Ground (May 2023). Due to the limited time to review this information prior to Deadline 3, Natural England will aim to comment further at Deadline 4.

Deleted: REP1-012



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
	- overall comments	Chapter 6: Air Quality [APP-048]			England's relevant representations [REP1-021].			
			Submissions from Natural					
		Relevant Representation [RR			The typographical error in the			Deleted: REP1-01
		019]	provide the source		updated Shadow HRA [REP4-			D-I-t-d-PER4 040
		Applicant's Responses to	apportionment of site and		014] has been corrected replacing 'north west' with 'north			Deleted: REP1-012
		Relevant Representations	vessel emissions to Project		east'.			
		[REP1-021]	pollutant contributions, as					
			reported in the Environmental		The typographical error in the			
			Statement, in a Technical		updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] has been corrected, the text			Deleted DED4 040
			Note at Deadline 5. Natural		should say intertidal rather than			Deleted: REP1-012
			England, will review the		marine.			Deleted: . We
			information when submitted. It	t				
			is noted that the Applicant's		Information on the flare stack			
			Comments on D1 Submissions		modelling has been included in the updated Shadow HRA at			Deleted: information
			from Natural England (REP2-013)		paragraph 4.7.24 [REP4-014].			
			stated that text regarding whether		paragraph 4.7.24 [NEI 4 014].			
			the flare stack modelling					
			undertaken represented a worst-					
			case location for potential					
			emissions would be added to the					Deleted: will
			shadow HRA at Deadline 3. Natural England notes that this					
			information has not yet been					
			provided in the shadow HRA,					Deleted: included
			and re-iterates that whilst we note					Defected monded
			and accept the justification					
			provided regarding flare stack					
			modelling (i.e. that the Applicant					
			can 'confirm with certainty that the					
			flexibility in stack locations will not	•				
			affect the conclusions of the					
			assessment, particularly at the					
			nearest sensitive habitats, given the limited contribution of stack					
			impacts at those locations.'), this					
			information should be incorporated	4				
			into the HRA.					
			Notural England note that the UP/					
			Natural England note that the HRA has been updated to include	<u> </u>				
			further information regarding					
			accidental releases of ammonia					
			and advise that our comments					
			regarding this are addressed					
			under issue NE54.					
			v					Deleted: at Deadline 3.





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date
NE35	HRA – consideration of combined effects	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England welcomes the updated information provided in 4.13.7 of the shadow HRA [REP4-014] and agrees that this point regarding the potential for combined effects from terrestrial and marine construction noise to increase levels of disturbance to SPA birds has been addressed.	Section 4.13 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014] on intra-project effects has been updated to provide further detail on potential intra-project effects relating to terrestrial and marine construction noise and piling.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	15 April 2024
NE36	HRA - In- combination assessment at appropriate assessment stage general comments	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England advises, that further information should be provided in the in-combination assessment regarding physical loss of (or change, to) habitat and associated species on qualifying habitats and species of the Humber Estuary SAC (Table 35), in line with agreed updates to the assessment of impacts from, the project alone. Natural England have reviewed the updated information provided by ABP via email on 21 June and agree with the assessment conclusions, subject to agreed updates to the Shadow HRA at Deadline 5.	The final row in Tables 36, 37, and 38 of the Shadow HRA [REP4-014] provides an in-combination assessment of all potential projects screened into the assessment taking into account relevant proposed mitigation or compensation proposed for each of the projects to derive a judgment on the potential for AEOI based on residual effects. The updated Shadow HRA [TR030008/APP/7.6 (5)] provides more detail in the in-combination assessment on the loss of (or change to) habitat which was shared with Natural England via email on the 21 June. In summary, with the provision of the compensatory habitat required for other projects and given that the combined intertidal losses with the Project will be de minimis and ecologically inconsequential, there is no potential for an AEOI on qualifying interest habitat features as a result of intertidal habitat loss.		Agreed	28 June 2024
NE37	HRA – In- combination assessment - Underwater noise and vibration during marine piling on qualifying species of marine mammal	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] s Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	smaller than Natural England, would normally advise for marine mammals (see Natural England 's	The same screening distance was applied as for the IERRT assessment which was considered suitable by Natural England (following consultation with Cefas). However, further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].		Agreed	8 March 2024

Deleted: REP1-012
Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: notes

Deleted: 34, 35

Deleted: 36

Deleted: Discussion ongoing

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: Tables 34, 35 and 36 consider the 'in combination' effects at the Appropriate Assessment stage. However, the current shadow HRA does not provide a sufficient in-

Deleted:, which requires further details

Deleted: address

Deleted: outstanding issues.

Deleted: welcomes the commitment to update the Shadow HRA and we will review the information when submitted. We agree with the statement in ABP's response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021]. that 'marine based mitigation... including those identified for relevant projects screened into the in-combination assessment, typically reduce potential residual effects to an acceptable level rather than completely avoiding/removing a potential impact on a receptor.' However, we highlight that if there is a residual effect after an appropriate assessment has been carried out of the project alone, then there is a need for that appropriate assessment to further consider the impact of this residual effect in-combination with other plans and projects. We highlight that the in-combination assessment should therefore assess whether these residual effects may combine/interact with those associated with other relevant plans/projects to produce an effect that is greater than the effect of the project alone. Therefore, the assessment should determine whether there is an adverse effect on site integrity in-combination for the relevant impact pathways.

Deleted: Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).

Deleted: The updated Shadow HRA [REP1-012] also provides an updated in-combination assessment.¶ Additional detail has been provided in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3.

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: we

Deleted: we





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE38	HRA – Cumulative underwater noise disturbance and barrier effects to grey seals	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Cumulative underwater noise disturbance and barrier effects to grey seal feature of the Humber Estuary SAC and Ramsar site have not been considered in sufficient detail. Natural England welcomes the updates made to the updated shadow HRA [REP4-014], including the separation of injury and disturbance impacts to grey seals. Natural England note that updated assessments have been provided by the applicant to Natural England maintain that more detail should be provided on the nature of the combined effects for all the projects together. The Applicant should examine the associated timings of the piling campaigns, of all the relevant projects together, to check whether any are scheduled to occur simultaneously in a month/year and to assess what the combined effects will be. Despite the methodological limitations of the assessment, based on the information provided, overall, Natural England concurs that cumulative underwater noise disturbance and barrier effects to seal will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of any European site, alone or in-combination.		As per ABPs position.	Matter not agreed – no material impact,		
NE39	HRA – In- combination assessment – Visual and noise disturbance to SPA birds	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England advises that the in-combination assessment should provide a detailed assessment of disturbance impacts on Humber Estuary SPA birds during construction.	Further assessment of whether piling (and other construction activity) associated with relevant projects including IERRT could overlap temporally with IGET is included in the updated Shadow HRA [REP4-	As per ABPs position.	<u>Agreed,</u>	4 June 2024	

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: Discussion ongoing

Deleted: The updated Shadow HRA [REP1-012] also includes this information

Deleted: Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 response (03 May 2024).

Deleted: REP1-012

Deleted: Discussion ongoing



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
			commitment to update the Shadow HRA and will review the information when submitted.	014] . This includes consideration of potential disturbance and displacement effects with the proposed mitigation in place for each of the relevant projects.				Deleted: REP1-012
NE40	Air quality – in combination assessment	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Chapter 25: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-067] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	additional information provided in the Applicant's Comments on D1 Submissions from Natural England [REP1-213]. Natural England		Further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].	Agreed	15 April 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: 01
NE41	HRA – conclusions	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	requested that a summary of each European site affected was		As per ABPs position.	Agreed	03 May 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: REP1-012





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
			(Appendix E), which provides further information regarding the schedule of proposed seasonal restrictions on construction activity. Therefore Natural England consider that this point is resolved.					Deleted: we
			However, Natural England highlights that discussions are ongoing regarding the remaining individual outstanding issues associated with the shadow HRA and its conclusions.					
NE42	Chapter 10 Ornithology –	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England note that whilst	Sensitivity levels for ornithology	As per ABPs position.	Matter not agreed – no		Deleted: REP1-012
	Assessed sensitivity of	Relevant Representation [RR	turnstone have a low sensitivity to	receptors in Chapter 10 [APP-052]	•	material impact		Deleted: would welcome clarity on how the
	ornithology receptors	019]	disturbance, there is a threshold after which they will not be able to	have been based on a range in				
		ES Chapter 10: Ornithology	tolerate any increased	sensitivity levels (such as highlighted				
		[APP-052]	disturbance, even of a type to	in Table 10:19 for disturbance) or				
			which they appear to be	taking into account what the worst-				
			habituated, and it is not possible to accurately determine this					
			threshold in advance of works.	relevant species on a precautionary basis. Consideration has been given				
				to the most sensitive species within				
			However, Natural England consider, that the potential impacts	assessments.				Deleted: levels for coastal waterbirds have been determine
			will be adequately minimised					Deleted: recommends
			through the provision of suitable					Deleted: consideration should
			mitigation measures. Natural					Deleted: given to
			England refer to NE21F for further					
			advice regarding the Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW).					Deleted reset consisting anguing #
			CIEIR OI WOIRS (ECOW).					Deleted: most sensitive species. ¶ Further detailed advice is provided in our Deadline 3 respon
NE44	Air Quality impacts from	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England welcomes the	As per Air Products position.	Further clarification is provided in	Agreed	15 April 2024	(03 May 2024).¶
112-7-7	traffic – construction phase		inatification provided in the	7.6 per 7.11 i Toddeta position.	ABP's response to Natural	Agreeu	10 / Iprii 2024	Deleted: REP1-012
		Relevant Representation [RR 019]	Statement of Common Ground		England's relevant			
			(May 2024), and agrees that this		representations [REP1-021]. This			
		ES Chapter 11: Traffic and	point has been addressed.		references the conclusion agreed			
		Transport [APP-053]			for the IERRT project.			
		Applicant's Responses to			For the purposes of IGET the			
		Relevant Representations			traffic modellers have identified			
		[REP1-021]			the operational flows expected on the M180 at the SSSI. This has			
					been confirmed to be low single			
					figures of Annual Average Daily			
					Traffic (AADT). As such the			
					impact would not be visible in any			
					modelling and therefore there			

modelling and therefore there would be no contribution to any

retardation of improvement in nitrogen deposition rates.





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE45	SSSI assessment – The Lagoons SSSI little tern	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019]	impacts of the proposal on little	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding scoping out impacts on little tern.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE46	Soils and Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land - ALC survey coverage	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Natural England highlight that the ALC survey should be carried out across the full extent of agricultural land within application site boundary. Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021]. Natural England, consider that this matter can be agreed, based on the information provided.		The methodology described by Natural England has been applied to the area sampled, albeit that the full extent of the site could not be sampled. Further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: We
NE47	Soils and Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land - ALC Survey	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Natural England highlights that ALC surveys require an ALC surveyor with suitable experience and qualification level, with these credentials provided as part of the ALC report. Amounts of surveyed ALC land should be noted in hectares. Natural England recommend that a map of the project boundary be provided alongside the ALC map to allow for identification of the areas of the application site not surveyed. Further details regarding the survey are also requested. Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021]. Natural England, consider that this matter can be	As per Air Products position.	The agricultural land survey has been undertaken by Reading Agricultural Consultants (RAC). RAC has more than 50-years' experience of providing advice on agricultural, environmental and countryside issues. The requested maps and further assessment details have been provided in the response to Natural England's Relevant Representations [REP1-021].	Agreed	8 March 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: We
			consider that this matter can be agreed, based on the information provided.					





ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
NE48	Soils and Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land Sustainable soil management	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019] Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan ("oCEMP") [AS-043] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Natural England advises that additional information is required regarding soil handling methods. Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021]. Natural England, consider that this matter can be agreed, based on the information provided.	As per Air Products position.	An outline Soil Management Plan (OSMP) is provided within Appendix B of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (oCEMP) [AS-043]. The OSMP is based on guidance documents that include the Defra Construction Code of Practice suggested by Natural England. The OSMP covers the scope outlined by Natural England and a requirement is in place to develop detailed Construction Environmental Management Plans, which would include detailed Soil Management Plans. Further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021].		8 March 2024	Deleted: REP2-004 Deleted: REP2-004 Deleted: We
NE49	Protected Species	Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England has adopted standing advice for protected species, which includes guidance on survey and mitigation measures. This guidance should be followed. Natural England is not providing bespoke advice on the protected species information provided in the ES for this project. The requirement for mitigation has not been assessed by Natural England.		·	Requirement for mitigation not assessed by Natural England.	4 December 2023	
NE50	HRA – Potential effects of maintenance dredging on water quality	Shadow HRA [REP4-014] Relevant Representation [RR-019]	Natural England agrees with the Applicant's conclusion that maintenance dredging will not impact water quality at the project site and will result in no AEoI for this impact pathway. Natural England confirm that this matter is agreed, based on the information provided.	ABP acknowledge Natural England's position regarding no AEoI for maintenance dredging on water quality.	As per ABPs position.	Agreed	4 December 2023	Deleted: REP1-012
NE52	HRA - Cumulative assessment	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in ABP's response to Relevant	Further clarification is provided in ABP's response to Natural England's relevant representations [REP1-021]		Agreed	3, May 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: 03



ID	Matter	Reference	Natural England Position	ABP Position	Air Products Position	Status	Date	
		Relevant Representation [RR 019] Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representations [REP1-021]	Representations [REP1-021] and agrees that this point has been addressed, notwithstanding the outstanding individual issues (as detailed above).	The updated Shadow HRA [REP4-014] also includes this information where appropriate.				Deleted: REP1-012
NE54	HRA – Air Quality	Shadow HRA [REP4-014]	Natural England advises that the potential for accidental releases of ammonia needs to be assessed from an ecological perspective. It	Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: REP1-012 Deleted: Discussion ongoing		
			needs to be clear that this has been captured in the assessments with the inclusion of mitigation as required.					
			Natural England welcomes the additional information provided in the updated shadow HRA [REP4-014], and agrees that this point, in relation to the assessment of accidental releases of ammonia,					
			nas been addressed.				Deleted: Natural England welcomes the commitment to provide additional detail in the updated Shadow HRA at Deadline 3.	
NE55	Without Prejudice Derogation - Outstrays to Skeffling Managed	Assessment (HRA)	Natural England has not reviewed the Without Prejudice Report to inform Habitats Regulations ABPs position is clearly set out in the Without Prejudice Report to inform Habitats Regulations Assessment			Agreed,	4 June 2024	Deleted: Discussion ongoing
	Realignment Scheme	Derogation [REP3-030],	Assessment (HRA) Derogation	(HRA) Derogation [REP3-030].				Deleted: REP1-008
			[REP3-030] in detail at this stage, as discussions on the Appropriate					Deleted: REP1-008].
			Assessment are still ongoing.					Deleted: REP1-008]
			Natural England understands that the underlying objectives of the Skeffling scheme, from an ABP perspective, is to create new intertidal habitat to compensate fo future anticipated habitat losses at their port complexes.	r t				
			Overall, Natural England, consider					Deleted: we
			that the Outstrays to Skeffling Managed Realignment Scheme (Skeffling) would provide a suitable approach to delivering compensatory habitat should this be required.					

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Ref: TR030008 Examination Document Ref: TR030008/EXAM/9.17





4 Glossary

Abbreviation / Acronym Definition

AADT Annual Average Daily Traffic
ABP Associated British Ports
AEol Adverse Effect on Integrity

AP Air Products

CEMP Construction Environmental Management Plan

DCO Development Consent Order
DML Deemed Marine License
ECoW Ecological Clerk of Works

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

ES Environmental Statement ExA Examining Authority

HRA Habitats Regulations Assessment

MHWS Mean High Water Spring

MMO Marine Management Organisation

NE Natural England

NELC North East Lincolnshire Council

NSIP Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project

PA 2008 Planning Act 2008

PEIR Preliminary Environmental Information Report

OSMP Outline Soil Management Plan

PINS Planning Inspectorate

RAC Reading Agricultural Consultants
SAC Special Area of Conservation
SoCG Statement of Common Ground
SoS Secretary of State for Transport

SPA Special Protection Area

SSSI Site of Special Scientific Interest

ToR Terms of Reference UK United Kingdom